

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/672,236		CHANG ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	LILIAN VO		2195	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) LILIAN VO.

(2) Michael Casey.

**Date of Interview:** 19 June 2008

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*N/A*

Claims discussed:

*16, 19, 36, 39 and abstract*

Prior art documents discussed:

*N/A*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

*/Meng-Ai An/*  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2195

**Status of Application:** Amendment

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 10:30AM

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's attorney Mr. Michael Casey authorized an examiner's amendment to put the claims in condition for allowance. Mr. Casey also authorized an examiner's amendment to adjust the length of the abstract during a telephone interview on 6/25/08 at 3pm.